arXiv:1006.5532v1 [math.FA] 29 Jun 2010

ULTRAREGULAR GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS

KHALED BENMERIEM AND CHIKH BOUZAR

Abstract. Algebras of ultradifferentiable generalized functions are introduced. We give a microlocal analysis within these algebras related to the regularity type and the ultradifferentiable property.

1. Introduction

The introduction by J. F. Colombeau of the algebra of generalized functions $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, see [5], containing the space of distributions as a subspace and having the algebra of smooth functions as a subalgebra, has initiated different directions of research in the field of differential algebras of generalized functions, see [1], [7], [13], [14] and [3].

The current research of the regularity problem in algebras of generalized functions of Colombeau type is based on the Oberguggenberger subalgebra $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, see [6], [9], [10] and [12]. This subalgebra plays the same role as $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, and has indicated the importance of the asymptotic behavior of the representative nets of a Colombeau generalized function in studying regularity problems. However, the $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}-$regularity does not exhaust the regularity questions inherent to the Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, see [15].

The purpose of this work is to introduce and to study new algebras of generalized functions of Colombeau type, denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$, measuring regularity both by the asymptotic behavior of the nets of smooth functions representing a Colombeau generalized function and by their ultradifferentiable smoothness of Denjoy-Carleman type $M = (M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Elements of $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ are called ultraregular generalized functions.

This paper is the composition of our two papers [4] and [2].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46F30, secondary 35A18, 46F10.

Key words and phrases. Ultradifferentiable functions, Denjoy-Carleman classes, Product of distributions, Generalized functions, Colombeau algebra, Wave front, Microlocal analysis, Gevrey generalized functions.

2. Regular generalized functions

Let Ω be a non void open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, define $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ as the space of elements $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of $C^\infty(\Omega)^{]0,1]}$ such that, for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\exists C > 0, \exists \eta \in]0,1], \forall \varepsilon \in]0,\eta]$,

$$\sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| \le C\varepsilon^{-m}.$$

By $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ we denote the elements $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \Omega, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\exists C > 0, \exists \eta \in]0,1], \forall \varepsilon \in]0,\eta]$,

$$\sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| \le C\varepsilon^{m}.$$

Definition 1. *The Colombeau algebra, denoted by* $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, *is the quotient algebra*

$$\mathcal{G}(\Omega) = \frac{\mathcal{X}(\Omega)}{\mathcal{N}(\Omega)}.$$

$\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is a commutative and associative differential algebra containing $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ as a subspace and $C^\infty(\Omega)$ as a subalgebra. The subalgebra of generalized functions with compact support, denoted $\mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, is the space of elements f of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ satisfying : there exist a representative $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1]}$ of f and a compact subset K of $\Omega, \forall \varepsilon \in]0,1]$, $\mathrm{supp} f_\varepsilon \subset K$.

One defines the subalgebra of regular elements $\mathcal{G}^\infty(\Omega)$, introduced by Oberguggenberger in [14], as the quotient algebra

$$\frac{\mathcal{X}^\infty(\Omega)}{\mathcal{N}(\Omega)},$$

where $\mathcal{X}^\infty(\Omega)$ is the space of elements $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of $C^\infty(\Omega)^{]0,1]}$ such that, for every compact $K \subset \Omega$, $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\exists C > 0, \exists \eta \in]0,1], \forall \varepsilon \in]0,\eta]$,

$$\sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| \le C\varepsilon^{-m}.$$

It is proved in [14] the following fundamental result

$$\mathcal{G}^\infty(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) = C^\infty(\Omega).$$

This means that the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}^\infty(\Omega)$ plays in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ the same role as $C^\infty(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, consequently one can introduce a local analysis by defining the generalized singular support of $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. This was the first notion of regularity in Colombeau algebra.

Recently, different measures of regularity in algebras of generalized functions have been proposed, see [6], [12] and [15]. For our needs we recall the essential definitions and results on $\mathcal{R}$-regular generalized functions, see [6].

Definition 2. *Let* $(N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, (N'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be two elements of* $\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$*, we write* $(N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \leq (N'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *, if* $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N_m \leq N'_m$*. A non void subspace* $\mathcal{R}$ *of* $\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *is said regular, if the following (R1)-(R3) are all satisfied :*

For all $(N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}$ *and* $(k,k') \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$*, there exists* $(N'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}$ *such that*

$$N_{m+k} + k' \leq N'_m \ , \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{R1}$$

For all $(N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *and* $(N'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *in* $\mathcal{R}$ *, there exists* $(N"_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}$ *such that*

$$\max(N_m, N'_m) \leq N"_m \ , \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{R2}$$

For all $(N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *and* $(N'_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *in* $\mathcal{R}$ *, there exists* $(N"_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}$ *such that*

$$N_{l_1} + N'_{l_2} \leq N"_{l_1+l_2} \ , \forall (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2. \tag{R3}$$

Define the $\mathcal{R}-$regular moderate elements of $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$, by

$$\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = \Big\{ (u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega) \mid \forall K \subset\subset \Omega, \exists N \in \mathcal{R}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$
$$\exists C > 0, \exists \eta \in \left]0,1\right], \forall \varepsilon \in \left]0,\eta\right] : \sup_{x\in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}} \Big\}$$

and its ideal

$$\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = \Big\{ (u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega) \mid \forall K \subset\subset \Omega, \forall N \in \mathcal{R}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$
$$\exists C > 0, \exists \eta \in \left]0,1\right], \forall \varepsilon \in \left]0,\eta\right] : \sup_{x\in K} |\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{N_{|\alpha|}} \Big\}.$$

Proposition 1. *1. The space* $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *is a subalgebra of* $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$*, stable by differentiation.*

2. The set $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *is an ideal of* $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *.*

Remark 1. *From (R1), one can show that* $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ *.*

Definition 3. *The algebra of* $\mathcal{R}$*-regular generalized functions, denoted by* $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *, is the quotient algebra*

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = \frac{\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)}{\mathcal{N}(\Omega)}.$$

Example 1. *The Colombeau algebra* $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ *is obtained when* $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$*, i.e.* $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}_+}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ *.*

Example 2. *When*

$$\mathcal{A} = \Big\{ (N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R} : \exists a \geq 0, \exists b \geq 0, N_m \leq am + b, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \Big\},$$

we obtain a differential subalgebra denoted by $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$.

Example 3. *When* $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{B}$ *the set of all bounded sequences, we obtain the Oberguggenberger subalgebra, i.e.* $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega)=\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Example 4. *If we take* $\mathcal{R}=\{0\}$, *the condition (R1) is not hold, however we can define*

$$\mathcal{G}^{0}(\Omega)=\frac{\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)}{\mathcal{N}(\Omega)}$$

as the algebra of elements which have all derivatives locally uniformly bounded for small ε, *see* [15].

We have the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{G}^{0}(\Omega)\subset\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega)\subset\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)\subset\mathcal{G}(\Omega).$$

3. Ultradifferentiable functions

We recall some classical results on ultradifferentiable functions spaces. A sequence of positive numbers $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is said to satisfy the following conditions:

$(H1)$ Logarithmic convexity, if

$$M_p^2\leq M_{p-1}M_{p+1},\ \forall p\geq 1.$$

$(H2)$ Stability under ultradifferential operators, if there are constants $A>0$ and $H>0$ such that

$$M_p\leq AH^pM_qM_{p-q},\forall p\geq q.$$

$(H3)'$ Non-quasi-analyticity, if

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p}<+\infty.$$

Remark 2. *Some results remain valid, see* [11], *when* $(H2)$ *is replaced by the following weaker condition :*

$(H2)'$ *Stability under differential operators, if there are constants* $A>0$ *and* $H>0$ *such that*

$$M_{p+1}\leq AH^pM_p,\forall p\in\mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The associated function of the sequence $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the function defined by

$$\widetilde{M}(t)=\sup_p\ln\frac{t^p}{M_p},t\in\mathbb{R}_+^*.$$

Some needed results of the associated function are given in the following propositions proved in [11].

Proposition 2. *A positive sequence* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *satisfies condition* $(H1)$ *if and and only if*

$$M_p = M_0 \sup_{t>0} \frac{t^p}{\exp\left(\widetilde{M}(t)\right)}, \qquad p = 0, 1, ...$$

Proposition 3. *A positive sequence* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *satisfies condition* $(H2)$ *if and and only if ,* $\exists A > 0, \exists H > 0, \forall t > 0,$

$$2\widetilde{M}(t) \leq \widetilde{M}(Ht) + \ln(AM_0)\,. \tag{1}$$

Remark 3. *We will always suppose that the sequence* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$*satisfies the condition* $(H1)$ *and* $M_0 = 1$.

A differential operator of infinite order $P(D) = \sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_\gamma D^\gamma$ is called an ultradifferential operator of class $M = (M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, if for every $h > 0$ there exist a constant $c > 0$ such that $\forall\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$,

$$|a_\gamma| \leq c\frac{h^{|\gamma|}}{M_{|\gamma|}}. \tag{2}$$

The class of ultradifferentiable functions of class M, denoted by $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$, is the space of all $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying for every compact subset K of Ω, $\exists c > 0, \forall\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$,

$$\sup_{x\in K} |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq c^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|}. \tag{3}$$

This space is also called the space of Denjoy-Carleman.

Example 5. *If* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+} = (p!^\sigma)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, \sigma > 1$, *we obtain* $\mathcal{E}^\sigma(\Omega)$ *the Gevrey space of order* σ, *and* $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) := \mathcal{E}^1(\Omega)$ *is the space of real analytic functions defined on the open set* Ω.

The basic properties of the space $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ are summarized in the following proposition, for the proof see [11].

Proposition 4. *The space* $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ *is an algebra. Moreover, if* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *satisfies* $(H2)'$, *then* $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ *is stable by any differential operator of finite order with coefficients in* $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ *and if* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *satisfies* $(H2)$ *then any ultradifferential operator of class* M *operates also as a sheaf homomorphism. The space* $\mathcal{D}^M(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ *is well defined and is not trivial if and only if the sequence* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *satisfies* $(H3)'$.

Remark 4. *The strong dual of* $\mathcal{D}^M(\Omega)$, *denoted* $\mathcal{D}'^M(\Omega)$, *is called the space of Roumieu ultraditributions.*

4. Ultraregular generalized functions

In the same way as $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ forms a sheaf of differential subalgebras of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, consequently one defines the generalized $\mathcal{R}-$singular support of $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, denoted by $\operatorname{singsupp}_{\mathcal{R}} u$, as the complement in Ω of the largest set Ω' such that $u_{/\Omega'} \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega')$, where $u_{/\Omega'}$ means the restriction of the generalized function u on Ω'. This new notion of regularity is linked with the asymptotic limited growth of generalized functions. Our aim in this section is to introduce a more precise notion of regularity within the Colombeau algebra taking into account both the asymptotic growth and the smoothness property of generalized functions. We introduce general algebras of ultradifferentiable $\mathcal{R}-$regular generalized functions of class M, where the sequence $M = (M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfies the conditions $(H1)$ with $M_0 = 1, (H2)$ and $(H3)'$.

Definition 4. *The space of ultraregular moderate elements of class M, denoted $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$, is the space of $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)^{]0,1]}$ satisfying for every compact K of Ω, $\exists N \in \mathcal{R}, \exists C > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 \in \left]0,1\right], \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0,$*

$$\sup_{x\in K} |\partial^{\alpha} f_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|} \varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}}. \tag{4}$$

The space of null elements is defined as $\mathcal{N}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) := \mathcal{N}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$.

The main properties of the spaces $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *1) The space $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ stable by action of differential operators*

2) The space $\mathcal{N}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. 1) Let $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon, (g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ and K a compact subset of Ω, then $\exists N \in \mathcal{R}, \exists C_1 > 0, \exists \varepsilon_1 \in \left]0,1\right]$, such that $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall x \in K, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$,

$$\left|\partial^{\beta} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_1^{|\beta|+1} M_{|\beta|} \varepsilon^{-N_{|\beta|}}, \tag{5}$$

$\exists N' \in \mathcal{R}, \exists C_2 > 0, \exists \varepsilon_2 \in \left]0,1\right]$, such that $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall x \in K, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$,

$$\left|\partial^{\beta} g_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_2^{|\beta|+1} M_{|\beta|} \varepsilon^{-N'_{|\beta|}}. \tag{6}$$

It clear from (R2) that $(f_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, then

$$|\partial^{\alpha}(f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)(x)| \leq \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \left|\partial^{\alpha-\beta} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \left|\partial^{\beta} g_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|.$$

From (R3) $\exists N" \in \mathcal{R}$ such that, $\forall \beta \leq \alpha$, $N_{|\alpha-\beta|} + N'_{|\beta|} \leq N"_{|\alpha|}$, and from $(H1)$, we have $M_p M_q \leq M_{p+q}$, then for $\varepsilon \leq \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ and $x \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon^{N"_{|\alpha|}}}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left|\partial^\alpha (f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)(x)\right| &\leq \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{\varepsilon^{N_{|\alpha-\beta|}}}{M_{|\alpha-\beta|}} \left|\partial^{\alpha-\beta} f_\varepsilon (x)\right| \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{\varepsilon^{N'_{|\beta|}}}{M_{|\beta|}} \left|\partial^\beta g_\varepsilon (x)\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} C_1^{|\alpha-\beta|+1} C_2^{|\beta|+1} \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \max\{C_1C_2, C_1 + C_2\}$, then $(f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$.

Let now $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, where $|\beta| = 1$, then for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ and $x \in K$, we have

$$\left|\partial^\alpha \left(\partial^\beta f_\varepsilon\right)(x)\right| \leq C_1^{|\alpha|+2} M_{|\alpha|+1} \varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|+1}}.$$

From (R1), $\exists N' \in \mathcal{R}$, such that $N_{|\alpha|+1} \leq N'_{|\alpha|}$, and from $(H2)'$, $\exists A > 0, H > 0$, such that $M_{|\alpha|+1} \leq AH^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial^\alpha \left(\partial^\beta f_\varepsilon\right)(x)\right| &\leq AC_1^2 (C_1 H)^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|} \varepsilon^{-N'_{|\alpha|}} \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|} \varepsilon^{-N'_{|\alpha|}}, \end{aligned}$$

which means $\left(\partial^\beta f_\varepsilon\right)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$.

2) The facts that $\mathcal{N}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Omega) = \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ give that $\mathcal{N}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$. □

The following definition introduces the algebra of ultraregular generalized functions.

Definition 5. *The algebra of ultraregular generalized functions of class* $M = (M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, *denoted* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$, *is the quotient algebra*

$$\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) = \frac{\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)}{\mathcal{N}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)}. \tag{7}$$

The basic properties of $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ are given in the following assertion.

Proposition 6. $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *is a differential subalgebra of* $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The algebraic properties hold from proposition 5. □

Example 6. *If we take the set* $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}$ *we obtain as a particular case the algebra* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega)$ *of* [12] *denoted there by* $\mathcal{G}^L(\Omega)$.

Example 7. *If we take* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+} = (p!^\sigma)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *we obtain a new subalgebra* $\mathcal{G}^{\sigma,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *of* $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ *called the algebra of Gevrey regular generalized functions of order* σ.

Example 8. *If we take both the set* $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}$ *and* $(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+} = (p!^\sigma)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *we obtain a new algebra, denoted* $\mathcal{G}^{\sigma,\infty}(\Omega)$, *that we will call the Gevrey-Oberguggenberger algebra of order* σ.

Remark 5. *In* [3] *is introduced an algebra of generalized Gevrey ultradistributions containing the classical Gevrey space* $\mathcal{E}^\sigma(\Omega)$ *as a subalgebra and the space of Gevrey ultradistributions* $\mathcal{D}'_{3\sigma-1}(\Omega)$ *as a subspace.*

It is not evident how to obtain, without more conditions, that $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ is stable by action of ultradifferential operators of class M, however we have the following result.

Proposition 7. *Suppose that the regular set* $\mathcal{R}$ *satisfies as well the following condition : For all* $(N_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}$, *there exist an* $(N_k^*)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}$, *and positive numbers* $h > 0, L > 0, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall \varepsilon \in \left]0,1\right]$,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} h^k \varepsilon^{-N_{k+m}} \leq L\varepsilon^{-N_m^*}. \tag{8}$$

Then the algebra $\mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *is stable by action of ultradifferential operators of class* M.

Proof. Let $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ and $P(D) = \sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_\beta D^\beta$ be an ultradifferential operator of class M, then for any compact set K of Ω, $\exists (N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}, \exists C > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 \in \left]0,1\right]$, such that $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall x \in K, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$,

$$|\partial^\alpha f_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|} \varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}}.$$

For every $h > 0$ there exists a $c > 0$ such that $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$,

$$|a_\beta| \leq c\frac{h^{|\beta|}}{M_{|\beta|}}.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} |\partial^\alpha (P(D) f_\varepsilon)(x)| &\leq \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} |a_\beta| \frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left|\partial^{\alpha+\beta} f_\varepsilon(x)\right| \\
&\leq c \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{h^{|\beta|}}{M_{|\beta|}} \frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left|\partial^{\alpha+\beta} f_\varepsilon(x)\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

From $(H2)$ and $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$, then $\exists C > 0, \exists A > 0, \exists H > 0,$

$$\frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left|\partial^\alpha \left(P(D) f_\varepsilon\right)(x)\right| \leq A^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} h^{|\beta|} \varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha+\beta|}},$$

consequently by condition (8), there exist $(N_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \mathcal{R}, h > 0, L > 0, \forall \varepsilon \in \left]0,1\right],$

$$\frac{h^{|\alpha|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} \left|\partial^\alpha \left(P(D) f_\varepsilon\right)(x)\right| \leq A^{|\alpha|} L \varepsilon^{-N^*_{|\alpha|}}$$

which shows that $\left(P(D) f_\varepsilon\right)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$. □

Example 9. *The sets* $\{0\}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}$ *satisfy the condition (8).*

The space $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ for all $\mathcal{R}$ by the canonical map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma : \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega) & \to & \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega) \\ u & \to & [u_\varepsilon] \end{array},$$

where $u_\varepsilon = u$ for all $\varepsilon \in \left]0,1\right]$, which is an injective homomorphism of algebras.

Proposition 8. *The following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C^\infty(\Omega) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \arrow[d] \\ \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}(\Omega) \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Proof. The embeddings in the diagram are canonical except the embedding $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \to \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, which is now well known in framework of Colombeau generalized functions, see [7] for details. The commutativity of the diagram is then obtained easily from the commutativity of the classical diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^\infty(\Omega) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \arrow[d] \\ & \mathcal{G}(\Omega) \end{tikzcd}$$

□

A fundamental result of regularity in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is the following.

Theorem 9. *We have* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u = cl\,(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$, i.e. $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{X}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega)$, then we have for every compact set $K \subset \Omega, \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists c > 0, \exists \eta \in \left]0,1\right],$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall \varepsilon \in \left]0,\eta\right] : \sup_{x \in K} \left|\partial^\alpha u(x)\right| \leq c^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|} \varepsilon^{-N}.$$

When choosing $\varepsilon = \eta$, we obtain

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \sup_{x \in K} \left|\partial^\alpha u(x)\right| \leq c^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|} \eta^{-N} \leq c_1^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|},$$

where c_1 depends only on K. Then u is in $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$. This shows that $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$. As the reverse inclusion is obvious, then we have proved $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) &= \left(\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega)\right) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \\ &= \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap \left(\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega) \\ &= \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 10. *The algebra* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *is a sheaf of subalgebras of* $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The sheaf property of $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ is obtained in the same way as the sheaf properties of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$. □

We can now give a new tool of $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}$-local regularity analysis.

Definition 6. *Define the* $(M,\mathcal{R})$*-singular support of a generalized function* $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, *denoted by* $\operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{R}}(u)$, *as the complement of the largest open set* Ω' *such that* $u \in \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega')$.

The basic property of $\operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{R}}$ is summarized in the following proposition, which is easy to prove by the facts above.

Proposition 11. *Let* $P(x,D) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha$ *be a generalized linear partial differential operator with* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ *coefficients, then*

$$\operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{R}}(P(x,D)u) \subset \operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{R}}(u), \forall u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) \tag{9}$$

We can now introduce a local generalized analysis in the sense of Colombeau algebra. Indeed, a generalized linear partial differential operator with $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{R}}(\Omega)$ coefficients $P(x,D)$ is said $(M,\mathcal{R})-$hypoelliptic in Ω, if

$$\operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{R}}(P(x,D)u) = \operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{R}}(u), \forall u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega) \tag{10}$$

Such a problem in this general form is still in the beginning. Of course, a microlocalization of the problem (10) will lead to a more precise information about solutions of generalized linear partial differential equations. A first attempt is done in the following section.

5. AFFINE ULTRAREGULAR GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS

Although we have defined a tool for a local $(M,\mathcal{R})-$analysis in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, it is not clear how to microlocalize this concept in general. We can do it in the general situation of affine ultraregularity. This is the aim of this section.

Definition 7. *Define the affine regular sequences*

$$\mathcal{A}=\left\{(N_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\in\mathcal{R}:\exists a\geq 0,\exists b\geq 0,N_m\leq am+b,\forall m\in\mathbb{Z}_+\right\}.$$

A basic $(M,\mathcal{A})-$microlocal analysis in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ can be developed due to the following result.

Proposition 12. *Let* $f=cl(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, *then* f *is* $\mathcal{A}-$*ultraregular of class* $M=(M_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *if and only if* $\exists a\geq 0,\exists b\geq 0,\exists C>0,\exists k>0,\exists\varepsilon_0\in\left]0,1\right],\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$, *such that*

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|\leq C\varepsilon^{-b}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k\varepsilon^a|\xi|)\right),\forall\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ *denotes the Fourier transform.*

Proof. Suppose that $f=cl(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)\cap\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$, then $\exists K$ compact of $\Omega,\exists C>0,\exists N\in\mathcal{A},\exists\varepsilon_1>0,\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,\forall x\in K,\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$, $\operatorname{supp}f_\varepsilon\subset K$, such that

$$|\partial^\alpha f_\varepsilon|\leq C^{|\alpha|+1}M_{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}}, \tag{12}$$

so we have, $\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n$,

$$|\xi^\alpha||\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|\leq\left|\int\exp(-ix\xi)\,\partial^\alpha f_\varepsilon(x)\,dx\right|$$

then, $\exists C>0,\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$,

$$|\xi|^{|\alpha|}|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|\leq C^{|\alpha|+1}M_{|\alpha|}\varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| &\leq C\inf_\alpha\left\{\frac{C^{|\alpha|}M_{|\alpha|}}{|\xi|^{|\alpha|}}\varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}}\right\}\\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-b}\inf_\alpha\left\{\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-a}C}{|\xi|}\right)^{|\alpha|}M_{|\alpha|}\right\}\\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-b}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^a|\xi|}{\sqrt{n}C}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\exists C>0,\exists k>0$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|\leq C\varepsilon^{-b}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k\varepsilon^a|\xi|)\right)\ ,$$

i.e. we have (11).

Suppose now that (11) is valid, then from inequality (1) of the Proposition 3, $\exists C, C', C'' > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 \in \left]0,1\right], \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial^\alpha f_\varepsilon(x)\right| &\leq C\varepsilon^{-b} \sup_\xi |\xi|^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k}{H}\varepsilon^a |\xi|\right)\right) \times \\
&\quad \times \int \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k}{H}\varepsilon^a |\xi|\right)\right) d\xi \\
&\leq C'\varepsilon^{-a|\alpha|-b} \sup_\xi \left|\frac{k}{H}\varepsilon^a \xi\right|^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k}{H}\varepsilon^a |\xi|\right)\right) \\
&\leq C''\varepsilon^{-a|\alpha|-b} \sup_\eta |\eta|^{|\alpha|} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(|\eta|)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the Proposition 2, then $\exists C > 0, \exists N \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$\left|\partial^\alpha f_\varepsilon(x)\right| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|} \varepsilon^{-N_{|\alpha|}},$$

where $C = \max\left(C, \frac{1}{k}\right)$, and $N_m = am + b$, then $f \in \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$. □

Corollary 13. *Let $f = cl\,(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, then f is a Gevrey affine ultraregular generalized function of order σ, i.e. $f \in \mathcal{G}^{\sigma,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$, if and only if $\exists a \geq 0, \exists b \geq 0, \exists C > 0, \exists k > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, such that*

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-k\varepsilon^a |\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\right), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{13}$$

In particular, f is a Gevrey generalized function of order σ, i.e. $f \in \mathcal{G}^{\sigma,\infty}(\Omega)$, if and only if $\exists b \geq 0, \exists C > 0, \exists k > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-k |\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}\right), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{14}$$

Using the above results, we can define the concept of $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}-$wave front of $u \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ and give the basic elements of a $(M, \mathcal{A})-$generalized microlocal analysis within the Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Definition 8. *Define the cone $\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^M(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, f \in \mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, as the complement of the set of points having a conic neighborhood Γ such that $\exists a \geq 0, \exists b \geq 0, \exists C > 0, \exists k > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, such that*

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k\varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{15}$$

Proposition 14. *For every $f \in \mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, we have*

1. *The set $\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^M(f)$ is a closed subset.*
2. *$\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^M(f) = \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. The proof of 1. is clear from the definition, and 2. holds from Proposition 12. □

Proposition 15. *For every* $f \in \mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, *we have*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\psi f) \subset \sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f), \forall \psi \in \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $\xi_0 \notin \sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$, i.e. $\exists \Gamma$ a conic neighborhood of $\xi_0, \exists a \geq 0, \exists b > 0, \exists k_1 > 0, \exists c_1 > 0, \exists \varepsilon_1 \in]0,1]$, such that $\forall \xi \in \Gamma, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_1 \varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right), \tag{16}$$

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{D}^M(\Omega)$, $\chi = 1$ on neighborhood of supp$f$, then $\chi\psi \in \mathcal{D}^M(\Omega), \forall \psi \in \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$, hence, see [11], $\exists k_2 > 0, \exists c_2 > 0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi)| \leq c_2 \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 |\xi|)\right). \tag{17}$$

Let Λ be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 such that, $\overline{\Lambda} \subset \Gamma$, then we have, for $\xi \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\chi\psi f_\varepsilon)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi - \eta)\, d\eta \\
&= \int_A \mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi - \eta)\, d\eta + \\
&\quad + \int_B \mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi - \eta)\, d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where $A = \{\eta; |\xi - \eta| \leq \delta(|\xi| + |\eta|)\}$ and $B = \{\eta; |\xi - \eta| > \delta(|\xi| + |\eta|)\}$. Take δ sufficiently small such that $\eta \in \Gamma, \frac{|\xi|}{2} < |\eta| < 2|\xi|, \forall \in \Lambda, \forall \eta \in A$, then $\exists c > 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_A \mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi - \eta)\, d\eta\right| &\leq c\varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1 \varepsilon^a \frac{|\xi|}{2}\right)\right) \times \\
&\quad \times \int_A \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 |\xi - \eta|)\right) d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

so $\exists c > 0, \exists k > 0$,

$$\left|\int_A \mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi - \eta)\, d\eta\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k \varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right). \tag{18}$$

As $f \in \mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, then $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists m > 0, \exists c > 0, \exists \varepsilon_2 > 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq c\varepsilon^{-q} |\xi|^m.$$

Hence for $\varepsilon \leq \min(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, $\exists c > 0$, such that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_B \mathcal{F}(f_\varepsilon)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi - \eta)\, d\eta\right| &\leq c\varepsilon^{-q} \int_B |\eta|^m \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 |\xi - \eta|)\right) d\eta \\
&\leq c\varepsilon^{-q} \int_B |\eta|^m \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 \delta(|\xi| + |\eta|))\right) d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We have, from Proposition 3, i.e. inequality (1), $\exists H>0, \exists A>0, \forall t_1>0, \forall t_2>0$,

$$-\widetilde{M}\left(t_1+t_2\right) \leq -\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{t_1}{H}\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{t_2}{H}\right)+\ln A\ , \tag{19}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_B \mathcal{F}\left(f_{\varepsilon}\right)(\eta)\, \mathcal{F}(\chi\psi)(\xi-\eta)\, d\eta\right| &\leq cA\varepsilon^{-q}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_2\delta}{H}|\xi|\right)\right)\times \\ &\quad\times \int_B |\eta|^m \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_2\delta}{H}|\eta|\right)\right) d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\exists c>0, \exists k>0$, such that

$$\left|\int_B \widehat{f_{\varepsilon}}(\eta)\, \widehat{\psi}(\xi-\eta)\, d\eta\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{-q}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k\varepsilon^a|\xi|\right)\right). \tag{20}$$

Consequently, (18) and (20) give $\xi_0 \notin \sum_{\mathcal{A}}^M(\psi f)$. $\square$

We define $\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^M(f)$ of a generalized function f at a point x_0 and the affine wave front set of class M in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Definition 9. *Let $f\in\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ and $x_0\in\Omega$, the cone of affine singular directions of class $M=(M_p)$ of f at x_0, denoted by $\sum_{\mathcal{A},x_0}^M(f)$, is*

$$\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A},x_0}^M(f)=\bigcap\left\{\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^M(\phi f):\phi\in\mathcal{D}^M(\Omega),\phi=1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } x_0\right\}. \tag{21}$$

The following lemma gives the relation between the local and microlocal $(M,\mathcal{A})-$analysis in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 16. *Let $f\in\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A},x_0}^M(f)=\emptyset \Longleftrightarrow x_0\notin \operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{A}}(f).$$

Proof. See the proof of the analogical Lemma in [3]. $\square$

Definition 10. *A point $(x_0,\xi_0)\notin WF_{\mathcal{A}}^M(f)\subset\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}$, if there exist $\phi\in\mathcal{D}^M(\Omega), \phi\equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of x_0, a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0, and numbers $a\geq 0, b\geq 0, k>0, c>0, \varepsilon_0\in\left]0,1\right]$, such that $\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0, \forall\xi\in\Gamma$,*

$$\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\phi f_{\varepsilon}\right)(\xi)\right|\leq c\varepsilon^{-b}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k\varepsilon^a|\xi|\right)\right).$$

Remark 6. *A point $(x_0,\xi_0)\notin WF_{\mathcal{A}}^M(f)\subset\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}$ means $\xi_0\notin\sum_{\mathcal{A},x_0}^M(f)$.*

The basic properties of $WF_{\mathcal{A}}^M$ are given in the following proposition.

Proposition 17. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, *then*

1) The projection of $WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$ *on* Ω *is the* $\operatorname{singsupp}_{M,\mathcal{A}}(f)$.

2) If $f \in \mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$, *then the projection of* $WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}$ *is* $\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$.

3) $WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\partial^\alpha f) \subset WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.

4) $WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(gf) \subset WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f), \forall g \in \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. 1) and 2) holds from the definition, Proposition 14 and Lemma 16.

3) Let $(x_0,\xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$. Then $\exists \phi \in \mathcal{D}^M(\Omega), \phi \equiv 1$ on $\overline{U}$, where U is a neighborhood of x_0, there exist a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0, and $\exists a \geq 0, \exists b > 0, \exists k_2 > 0, \exists c_1 > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 \in \left]0,1\right]$, such that $\forall \xi \in \Gamma, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 \varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right). \tag{22}$$

We have, for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^M(U)$ such that $\psi(x_0) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(\psi \partial f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| &= |\mathcal{F}(\partial(\psi f_\varepsilon))(\xi) - \mathcal{F}((\partial\psi) f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \\ &\leq |\xi| \, |\mathcal{F}(\psi\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| + |\mathcal{F}((\partial\psi)\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

As $WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\psi f) \subset WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$, so (22) holds for both $|\mathcal{F}(\psi\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|$ and $|\mathcal{F}((\partial\psi)\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi| \, |\mathcal{F}(\psi\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| &\leq c\varepsilon^{-b} |\xi| \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 \varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right) \\ &\leq c' \varepsilon^{-b-a} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_3 \varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right), \end{aligned}$$

with some $c' > 0, k_3 > 0, (k_3 < k_2)$, such that

$$\varepsilon^a |\xi| \leq c' \exp\left(\widetilde{M}(k_2 \varepsilon^a |\xi|) - \widetilde{M}(k_3 \varepsilon^a |\xi|)\right)$$

for ε sufficiently small. Hence (22) holds for $|\mathcal{F}(\psi \partial f_\varepsilon)(\xi)|$, which proves $(x_0,\xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\partial f)$.

4) Let $(x_0,\xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)$. Then there exist $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^M(\Omega), \phi(x) = 1$ on a neighborhood U of x_0, a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0, and numbers $a_1 \geq 0, b_1 > 0, k_1 > 0, c_1 > 0, \varepsilon_1 \in \left]0,1\right]$, such that $\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1, \forall \xi \in \Gamma$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq c_1 \varepsilon^{-b_1} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_1 \varepsilon^{a_1} |\xi|)\right).$$

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^M(\Omega)$ and $\psi = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\phi$, then $\mathcal{F}(\phi g_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}(\psi g_\varepsilon) * \mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)$. We have $\psi g \in \mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$, then $\exists c_2 > 0, \exists a_2 \geq 0, \exists b_2 > 0, \exists k_2 > 0, \exists \varepsilon_2 > 0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\psi g_\varepsilon)(\xi)| \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{-b_2} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}(k_2 \varepsilon^{a_2} |\xi|)\right). \tag{23}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}\left(\phi g_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon\right)(\xi) &= \int_A \mathcal{F}\left(\phi f_\varepsilon\right)(\eta)\,\mathcal{F}\left(\psi g_\varepsilon\right)(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta + \\ &\quad + \int_B \mathcal{F}\left(\phi f_\varepsilon\right)(\eta)\,\mathcal{F}\left(\psi g_\varepsilon\right)(\xi-\eta)\,d\eta,\end{aligned}$$

where A and B are the same as in the proof of Proposition 15. By the same reasoning we obtain the proof. □

A micolocalization of Proposition 11 is expressed in the following result.

Corollary 18. *Let* $P(x,D)=\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha(x)\,D^\alpha$ *be a generalized linear partial differential operator with* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$ *coefficients, then*

$$WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}\left(P(x,D)\,f\right)\subset WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)\,,\forall f\in\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$$

Remark 7. *The reverse inclusion will give a generalized microlocal ultraregularity of linear partial differential operator with coefficients in* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$. *The first case of* $\mathcal{G}^{\infty}$*-microlocal hypoellipticity has been studied in* [10]. *A general interesting problem of* $(M,\mathcal{A})$*-generalized microlocal elliptic ultraregularity is to prove the following inclusion*

$$WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)\subset WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}\left(P(x,D)\,f\right)\cup Char(P),\forall f\in\mathcal{G}(\Omega)\,,$$

where $P(x,D)$ *is a generalized linear partial differential operator with* $\mathcal{G}^{M,\mathcal{A}}(\Omega)$ *coefficients and* $Char(P)$ *is the set of generalized characteristic points of* $P(x,D)$.

6. Generalized Hörmander's theorem

We extend the generalized Hörmander's result on the wave front set of the product, the proof follow the same steps as the proof of theorem 26 in [3]. Let $f,g\in\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, we define

$$WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)+WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g)=\left\{(x,\xi+\eta):(x,\xi)\in WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)\,,(x,\eta)\in WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g)\right\}, \tag{24}$$

We recall the following fundamental lemma, see [9] for the proof.

Lemma 19. *Let* $\sum_1$, $\sum_2$ *be closed cones in* $\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\{0\}$, *such that* $0\notin\sum_1+\sum_2$, *then*

i) $\overline{\sum_1+\sum_2}^{\mathbb{R}^m/\{0\}}=\left(\sum_1+\sum_2\right)\cup\sum_1\cup\sum_2$

ii) For any open conic neighborhood Γ *of* $\sum_1+\sum_2$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\{0\}$, *one can find open conic neighborhoods of* Γ_1, Γ_2 *in* $\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\{0\}$ *of, respectively,* $\sum_1,\sum_2$, *such that*

$$\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2\subset\Gamma$$

The principal result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 20. *Let $f,g\in\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, such that $\forall x\in\Omega$,*

$$(x,0)\notin WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)+WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g)\,, \tag{25}$$

then

$$WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(fg)\subseteq\big(WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)+WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g)\big)\cup WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)\cup WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g) \tag{26}$$

Proof. Let $(x_0,\xi_0)\notin\big(WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)+WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g)\big)\cup WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(f)\cup WF_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(g)$, then $\exists\phi\in D^{M}(\Omega)$, $\phi(x_0)=1$, $\xi_0\notin\left(\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)+\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)\right)\cup\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)\cup\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)$. From (25) we have $0\notin\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)+\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)$ then by lemma 19 i), we have

$$\xi_0\notin\left(\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)+\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)\right)\cup\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)\cup\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)=\overline{\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)+\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)}^{\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\{0\}}$$

Let Γ_0 be an open conic neighborhood of $\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)+\sum_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\xi_0\notin\overline{\Gamma}_0$ then, from lemma 19 ii), there exist open cones Γ_1 and Γ_2 in $\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$\sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi f)\subset\Gamma_1,\ \ \sum\nolimits_{\mathcal{A}}^{M}(\phi g)\subset\Gamma_2\text{ and }\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2\subset\Gamma_0$$

Define $\Gamma=\mathbb{R}^m\backslash\overline{\Gamma}_0$, so

$$\Gamma\cap\Gamma_2=\emptyset\text{ and }\ (\Gamma-\Gamma_2)\cap\Gamma_1=\emptyset \tag{27}$$

Let $\xi\in\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon\in\,]0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon\phi g_\varepsilon)(\xi) &= (\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)*\mathcal{F}(\phi g_\varepsilon))(\xi)\\ &= \int_{\Gamma_2}\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi-\eta)\,\mathcal{F}(\phi g_\varepsilon)(\eta)\,d\eta+\int_{\Gamma_2^c}\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi-\eta)\,\mathcal{F}(\phi g_\varepsilon)(\eta)\,d\eta\\ &= I_1(\xi)+I_2(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

From (27), $\exists a_1\geq 0,b_1\geq 0,k_1>0,c_1>0,\varepsilon_1\in\,]0,1]$, such that $\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_1,\forall\xi\in\Gamma_2$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\phi f_\varepsilon)(\xi-\eta)|\leq c_1\varepsilon^{-b_1}\exp-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1\varepsilon^{a_1}|\xi|\right)$$

we can show easily by the fact that $(\phi g_\varepsilon)\in\mathcal{G}_C(\Omega)$ that $\forall a_2\geq 0,\forall k_2>0,\exists b_2\geq 0,\exists c_2>0,,\exists\varepsilon_2\in\,]0,1]$, such that $\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_2$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\phi g_\varepsilon)(\eta)|\leq c_2\varepsilon^{-b_2}\exp\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}|\eta|\right),\forall\eta\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

Let $\gamma>0$ sufficiently small such that $|\xi-\eta|\geq\gamma\left(|\xi|+|\eta|\right),\forall\eta\in\Gamma_2$. Hence for $\varepsilon\leq\min\left(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\right)$,

$$|I_1(\xi)|\leq c_1c_2\varepsilon^{-b_1-b_2}\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1\varepsilon^{a_1}|\xi-\eta|\right)+\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}|\eta|\right)\right)d\eta$$

from proposition 3, $\exists H>0,\exists A>0,\forall t_1>0,\forall t_2>0$,

$$-\widetilde{M}(t_1+t_2)\leq-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{t_1}{H}\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{t_2}{H}\right)+\ln A\ , \tag{28}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|I_1(\xi)\right| &\leq c_1c_2\varepsilon^{-b_1-b_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_1}{H}\varepsilon^{a_1}\gamma\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)\\
&\quad\times\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_1}{H}\varepsilon^{a_1}\gamma\left|\eta\right|\right)+\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\left|\eta\right|\right)\right)d\eta\\
&\leq c_1c_2\varepsilon^{-b_1-b_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_1}{H}\varepsilon^{a_1}\gamma\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)\\
&\quad\times\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\left(\frac{k_1}{H^2}\varepsilon^{a_1}\gamma-k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\right)\left|\eta\right|\right)\right)d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

take $k=\frac{\gamma k_1}{H}$ and $\frac{k_1}{H^2}\varepsilon^{a_1}\gamma-k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}>0$, then $\exists b=b\left(b1+b_2,a_1,a_2,k_1,k_2,H\right),\exists c=c_1c_2$

$$\left|I_1(\xi)\right|\leq c\varepsilon^{-b}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)$$

Let $r>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(\xi) &= \int_{\Gamma_2^c\cap\{|\eta|\leq r|\xi|\}}\mathcal{F}\left(\phi f_\varepsilon\right)(\xi-\eta)\,\mathcal{F}\left(\phi g_\varepsilon\right)(\eta)\,d\eta+\int_{\Gamma_2^c\cap\{|\eta|\geq r|\xi|\}}\mathcal{F}\left(\phi f_\varepsilon\right)(\xi-\eta)\,\mathcal{F}\left(\phi g_\varepsilon\right)(\eta)\,d\eta\\
&= I_{21}(\xi)+I_{22}(\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

Choose r sufficiently small such that $\left\{|\eta|\leq r\left|\xi\right|\right\}\Longrightarrow\xi-\eta\notin\Gamma_1$. Then $\left|\xi-\eta\right|\geq(1-r)\left|\xi\right|\geq(1-2r)\left|\xi\right|+\left|\eta\right|$, consequently $\exists c>0,\exists a_1,a_2,b_1,k_1,k_2>0,\exists\varepsilon_1>0$ such that $\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{21}(\xi)\right| &\leq c\varepsilon^{-b}\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\xi-\eta\right|\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\left|\eta\right|\right)\right)\\
&\leq c\varepsilon^{-b}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1'\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)\int\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\eta\right|\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\left|\eta\right|\right)\right)d\eta\\
&\leq c'\varepsilon^{-b'}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1'\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\left|\eta\right|\geq r\left|\xi\right|$, we have $\left|\eta\right|\geq\dfrac{\left|\eta\right|+r\left|\xi\right|}{2}$, and then $\exists c>0,\exists a_1,b_1,k_1>0,\forall a_2,k_2>0,\exists b_2>0,\exists\varepsilon_2>0$ such that $\forall\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{21}(\xi)\right| &\leq c\varepsilon^{-b_1-b_2}\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\left|\xi-\eta\right|\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(k_1\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\eta\right|\right)\right)d\eta\\
&\leq c\varepsilon^{-b_1-b_2}\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\left|\xi-\eta\right|\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_1}{2}\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\eta\right|+\frac{k_1r}{2}\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)d\eta\\
&\leq c\varepsilon^{-b_1-b_2}\exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_1r}{2H}\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\xi\right|\right)\right)\int_{\Gamma_2}\exp\left(\widetilde{M}\left(k_2\varepsilon^{a_2}\left|\xi-\eta\right|\right)-\widetilde{M}\left(\frac{k_1}{2H}\varepsilon^{a_1}\left|\eta\right|\right)\right)d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

if we take $k_2, \frac{1}{a_2}$ sufficiently smalls, we obtain $\exists a, b, c > 0, \exists \varepsilon_3 > 0$, such that $\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_3$

$$\left| I_{21}\left(\xi\right)\right| \leq c\varepsilon^{-b} \exp\left(-\widetilde{M}\left(k\varepsilon^{a}\left|\xi\right|\right)\right),$$

which finishes the proof. □

References

[1] ANTONEVICH A. B., RADYNO Ya. V., On general method of constructing algebras of new generalized functions. Soviet. Math. Dokl., vol. 43:3, p. 680-684, 1991.

[2] BENMERIEM K., BOUZAR C., Affine ultraregular Generalized function. Banach Center Publications, vol. 88, p. 39-53, 2010.

[3] BENMERIEM K., BOUZAR C., Generalized Gevrey ultradistributions. New York J. Math. 15, p. 37-72. 2009.

[4] BENMERIEM K., BOUZAR C., Ultraregular Generalized function of Colombeau type, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Vol. 15, No. 4, p. 427—447, 2008.

[5] COLOMBEAU J. F., New generalized functions and multiplication of distributions. North-Holland, 1984.

[6] A. DELCROIX. Regular rapidly decreasing nonlinear generalized functions. Application to microlocal regularity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 327, p. 564-584, 2007.

[7] GROSSER M., KUNZINGER M., OBERGUGGENBERGER M., STEINBAUER R., Geometric theory of generalized functions. Kluwer, 2001.

[8] HÖRMANDER L., The analysis of linear partial differential operators, Vol. 1 : Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. Springer, 2nd edition 1990.

[9] HÖRMANN G., KUNZINGER M., Microlocal properties of basic operations in Colombeau algebras. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 26:1, p. 254-270, 2001.

[10] HÖRMANN G., OBERGUGGENBERGER M., PILIPOVIC S., Microlocal hypoellipticity of linear differential operators with generalized functions as coefficients. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 358, p. 3363-3383, 2006.

[11] KOMATSU H., Ultradistributions I, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA 20, p. 25-105, 1973.

[12] MARTI J. A., Regularity, local and microlocal analysis in theories of generalized functions. Acta Appl Math, 105, p. 267–302, 2009.

[13] NEDELJKOV M., PILIPOVIC S., SCARPALEZOS D.. The linear theory of Colombeau generalized functions, Longman, 1998.

[14] OBERGUGGENBERGER M., Multiplication of distributions and applications to partial differential equations, Longman, 1992.

[15] OBERGUGGENBERGER M., Regularity theory in Colombeau algebras. Bull. T. CXXXIII. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts, Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math., 31, p. 2006.

University of Mascara, Algeria.
E-mail address: `benmeriemkhaled@yahoo.fr`

Oran-Essenia University, Algeria.
E-mail address: `bouzar@yahoo.com`